

An Amendment to H. Res. 40 Offered by Mr. Polis of Colorado

At the end of the resolution, add the following new sections:

Sec. 6. Immediately upon adoption of this resolution the Speaker shall, pursuant to clause 2(b) of rule XVIII, declare the House resolved into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for consideration of the bill (H.R.371) to address financial conflicts of interest of the President and Vice President. The first reading of the bill shall be dispensed with. All points of order against consideration of the bill are waived. General debate shall be confined to the bill and shall not exceed one hour equally divided and controlled by the Majority Leader and the Minority Leader or their respective designees. After general debate the bill shall be considered for amendment under the five-minute rule. All points of order against provisions in the bill are waived. At the conclusion of consideration of the bill for amendment the Committee shall rise and report the bill to the House with such amendments as may have been adopted. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill and amendments thereto to final passage without intervening motion except one motion to recommit with or without instructions. If the Committee of the Whole rises and reports that it has come to no resolution on the bill, then on the next legislative day the House shall, immediately after the third daily order of business under clause 1 of rule XIV, resolve into the Committee of the Whole for further consideration of the bill.

Sec. 7. Clause 1(c) of rule XIX shall not apply to the consideration of H.R. 371.

THE VOTE ON THE PREVIOUS QUESTION: WHAT IT REALLY MEANS

This vote, the vote on whether to order the previous question on a special rule, is not merely a procedural vote. A vote against ordering the previous question is a vote against the Republican majority agenda and a vote to allow the Democratic minority to offer an alternative plan. It is a vote about what the House should be debating.

Mr. Clarence Cannon's *Precedents of the House of Representatives* (VI, 308-311), describes the vote on the previous question on the rule as "a motion to direct or control the consideration of the subject before the House being made by the Member in charge." To defeat the previous question is to give the opposition a chance to decide the subject before the House. Cannon cites the Speaker's ruling of January 13, 1920, to the effect that "the refusal of the House to sustain the demand for the previous question passes the control of the resolution to the opposition" in order to offer an amendment. On March 15, 1909, a member of the majority party offered a rule resolution. The House defeated the previous question and a member of the opposition rose to a parliamentary inquiry, asking who was entitled to recognition. Speaker Joseph G. Cannon (R-Illinois) said: "The previous question having been refused, the gentleman from New York, Mr. Fitzgerald, who had asked the gentleman to yield to him for an amendment, is entitled to the first recognition."

The Republican majority may say "the vote on the previous question is simply a vote on whether to proceed to an immediate vote on adopting the resolution [and] has no substantive legislative or policy implications whatsoever." But that is not what they have always said. Listen to the Republican Leadership Manual on the Legislative Process in the United States House of Representatives, (6th edition, page 135). Here's how the Republicans describe the previous question vote in their own manual: "Although it is generally not possible to amend the rule because the majority Member controlling the time will not yield for the purpose of offering an amendment, the same result may be achieved by voting down the previous question on the rule When the motion for the previous question is defeated, control of the time passes to the Member who led the opposition to ordering the previous question. That Member, because he then controls the time, may offer an amendment to the rule, or yield for the purpose of amendment."

In Deschler's *Procedure in the U.S. House of Representatives*, the subchapter titled "Amending Special Rules" states: "a refusal to order the previous question on such a rule [a special rule reported from the Committee on Rules] opens the resolution to amendment and further debate." (Chapter 21, section 21.2) Section 21.3 continues: "Upon rejection of the motion for the previous question on a resolution reported from the Committee on Rules, control shifts to the Member leading the opposition to the previous question, who may offer a proper amendment or motion and who controls the time for debate thereon."

Clearly, the vote on the previous question on a rule does have substantive policy implications. It is one of the only available tools for those who oppose the Republican majority's agenda and allows those with alternative views the opportunity to offer an alternative plan.

PQ Script

M. Speaker, if we defeat the Previous Question, I will offer an amendment to the rule to bring up legislation that would require the President and Vice President, their spouses, and dependent children to disclose and divest any personal financial holdings that could create a conflict of interest by placing them in a blind trust. This has been the standard for previous presidents and this legislation ensures that precedent continues.

President-elect Trump has refused to release his tax returns or resolve any conflicts of interest related to his business dealings. The American people should expect the President to do what's best for the country, not do what's best for his businesses.

M. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to insert the text of the amendment in the Record along with extraneous material immediately prior to the vote on the previous question.

To discuss our proposal, I yield ____ minute(s) to the distinguished gentleman _____ from _____,

M_____.

PQ Script

M. Speaker, if we defeat the Previous Question, I will offer an amendment to the rule to bring up legislation that would require the President and Vice President, their spouses, and dependent children to disclose and divest any personal financial holdings that could create a conflict of interest by placing them in a blind trust. This has been the standard for previous presidents and this legislation ensures that precedent continues.

President-elect Trump has refused to release his tax returns or resolve any conflicts of interest related to his business dealings. The American people should expect the President to do what's best for the country, not do what's best for his businesses.

M. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to insert the text of the amendment in the Record along with extraneous material immediately prior to the vote on the previous question.

To discuss our proposal, I yield ____ minute(s) to the distinguished gentlewoman from Massachusetts, Ms. Clark.

PQ Script

M. Speaker, if we defeat the Previous Question, I will offer an amendment to the rule to bring up legislation that would require the President and Vice President, their spouses, and dependent children to disclose and divest any personal financial holdings that could create a conflict of interest by placing them in a blind trust. This has been the standard for previous presidents and this legislation ensures that precedent continues.

President-elect Trump has refused to release his tax returns or resolve any conflicts of interest related to his business dealings. The American people should expect the President to do what's best for the country, not do what's best for his businesses.

M. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to insert the text of the amendment in the Record along with extraneous material immediately prior to the vote on the previous question.

M. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to vote 'no' and defeat the previous question and vote 'no' on the rule. I yield back the balance of my time.

PQ Script

M. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to insert the text of the amendment in the Record along with extraneous material immediately prior to the vote on the previous question.

M. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to vote 'no' and defeat the previous question and vote 'no' on the rule. I yield back the balance of my time.